

POINTWISE ENTANGLED ERGODIC THEOREMS FOR DUNFORD-SCHWARTZ OPERATORS

DÁVID KUNSZENTI-KOVÁCS

ABSTRACT. We investigate pointwise convergence of entangled ergodic averages of Dunford-Schwartz operators T_0, T_1, \dots, T_m on a Borel probability space. These averages take the form

$$\frac{1}{N^k} \sum_{1 \leq n_1, \dots, n_k \leq N} T_m^{n_{\alpha(m)}} A_{m-1} T_{m-1}^{n_{\alpha(m-1)}} \dots A_2 T_2^{n_{\alpha(2)}} A_1 T_1^{n_{\alpha(1)}} f,$$

where $f \in L^p(X, \mu)$ for some $1 \leq p < \infty$, and $\alpha : \{1, \dots, m\} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, k\}$ encodes the entanglement. We prove that under some joint boundedness and twisted compactness conditions on the pairs (A_i, T_i) , almost everywhere convergence holds for all $f \in L^p$. We also present an extension to polynomial powers in the case $p = 2$, in addition to a continuous version concerning Dunford-Schwartz C_0 -semigroups.

1. INTRODUCTION

Entangled ergodic averages were first introduced in a paper by Accardi, Hashimoto and Obata [1], where these were a key ingredient in providing an analogue of the Central Limit Theorem for the models in quantum probability they studied. Entangled ergodic averages take the general form

$$\frac{1}{N^k} \sum_{1 \leq n_1, \dots, n_k \leq N} T_m^{n_{\alpha(m)}} A_{m-1} T_{m-1}^{n_{\alpha(m-1)}} \dots A_2 T_2^{n_{\alpha(2)}} A_1 T_1^{n_{\alpha(1)}},$$

where A_i ($1 \leq i \leq m-1$) and T_i ($1 \leq i \leq m$) are operators on a Banach space E , and $\alpha : \{1, \dots, m\} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, k\}$ is a surjective map. The operators A_i are acting as transitions between the actions of the operators T_i , that iteratively govern the dynamics, whereas the entanglement map α provides a coupling between the stages.

Further papers on the subject initially focused on strong convergence of these Cesàro averages, see Liebscher [20], Fidaleo [10, 11, 12] and Eisner, K.-K. [8]. In Eisner, K.-K. [9] and K.-K. [19], attention was turned to pointwise almost everywhere convergence in the context of the T_i 's being operators on function spaces $E = L^p(X, \mu)$ ($1 \leq p < \infty$), where (X, μ) is a standard probability space (i.e. a

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 47A35; Secondary: 37A30.

Key words and phrases. Entangled ergodic averages, pointwise convergence, unimodular eigenvalues, polynomial ergodic averages.

The author has received funding from the European Research Council under the European Union's Seventh Framework Programme (FP7/2007-2013) / ERC grant agreement n°617747, and from the MTA Rényi Institute Lendület Limits of Structures Research Group.

compact metrizable space with a Borel probability measure). The former paper concerns itself with the case $k = 1$ with the T_i being Dunford-Schwartz operators, whereas the latter allows for multi-parameter entanglement, but at the price of only dealing with Koopman operators.

In this paper we deal with the full case of general entanglement maps α and Dunford-Schwartz operators T_i , and show a.e. convergence on the whole L^p space for all $1 \leq p < \infty$, significantly improving on previous results. We introduce a formalism for the iterated function splittings used in the proofs in order to make them more concise, better highlighting what the main steps are, and where the different assumptions of the statements come into play. We also provide results concerning polynomial and time-continuous versions of the ergodic theorems considered.

Note that in what follows, \mathbb{N} will be used to denote the set of positive integers.

Our main result is as follows.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $m > 1$ and k be positive integers, $\alpha : \{1, \dots, m\} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, k\}$ a not necessarily surjective map, and let T_1, T_2, \dots, T_m be Dunford-Schwartz operators on a Borel probability space (X, μ) . Let $p \in [1, \infty)$, $E := L^p(X, \mu)$ and let $E = E_{j;r} \oplus E_{j;s}$ be the Jacobs-Glicksberg-deLeeuw decomposition corresponding to T_j ($1 \leq j \leq m$). Let further $A_j \in \mathcal{L}(E)$ ($1 \leq j < m$) be bounded operators. For a function $f \in E$ and an index $1 \leq j \leq m-1$, write $\mathcal{A}_{j,f} := \{A_j T_j^n f \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Suppose that the following conditions hold:*

- (A1) (Twisted compactness) *For any function $f \in E$, index $1 \leq j \leq m-1$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a decomposition $E = \mathcal{U} \oplus \mathcal{R}$ with $0 < \dim \mathcal{U} < \infty$ such that*

$$P_{\mathcal{R}} \mathcal{A}_{j,f} \subset B_{\varepsilon}(0, L^{\infty}(X, \mu)),$$

with $P_{\mathcal{R}}$ denoting the projection along \mathcal{U} onto \mathcal{R} .

- (A2) (Joint L^{∞} -boundedness) *There exists a constant $C > 0$ such that we have*

$$\{A_j T_j^n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}, 1 \leq j \leq m-1\} \subset B_C(0, \mathcal{L}(L^{\infty}(X, \mu))).$$

Then we have the following:

- (1) *for each $f \in E_{1;s}$,*

$$\frac{1}{N^k} \sum_{1 \leq n_1, \dots, n_k \leq N} |T_m^{n_{\alpha(m)}} A_{m-1} T_{m-1}^{n_{\alpha(m-1)}} \dots A_2 T_2^{n_{\alpha(2)}} A_1 T_1^{n_{\alpha(1)}} f| \rightarrow 0$$

pointwise a.e.;

- (2) *for each $f \in E_{1;r}$,*

$$\frac{1}{N^k} \sum_{1 \leq n_1, \dots, n_k \leq N} T_m^{n_{\alpha(m)}} A_{m-1} T_{m-1}^{n_{\alpha(m-1)}} \dots A_2 T_2^{n_{\alpha(2)}} A_1 T_1^{n_{\alpha(1)}} f$$

converges pointwise a.e..

Remark. *Note that it was proven in [9] that the Volterra operator V on $L^2([0, 1])$ defined through*

$$(Vf)(x) := \int_0^x f(z) dz$$

as well as all of its powers can be decomposed into a finite sum of operators, each of which satisfy conditions (A1) and (A2) when paired with any Dunford-Schwartz operator. Hence the conclusions of Theorem 1.1 apply whenever the operators A_i are chosen to be powers of V .

2. NOTATIONS AND TOOLS

Before proceeding to the proof of our main result, we need to clarify some of the notions used, and introduce notations that will simplify our arguments.

In what follows, \mathbb{N} will denote the set of positive integers, and \mathbb{T} the unit circle in \mathbb{C} .

The proof works by iteratively splitting the functions into finitely many parts, and so introducing vector indices will be very helpful. Given a vector $v \in \mathbb{N}^c$ ($c \geq 1$), let $\bar{v} \in \mathbb{N}^{c-1}$ be the vector obtained by deleting its last coordinate, and let v^* denote its last coordinate. Also, we shall write $l(v) := c$ to denote the number of coordinates of the vector, $x \subset v$ if there exist vectors w_0, w_1, \dots, w_b ($b \geq 1$) such that $w_0 = x$, $w_b = v$ and for each $1 \leq i \leq b$ we have $w_{i-1} = \bar{w}_i$, and finally $x \subseteq v$ if $x = v$ or $x \subset v$.

Let \mathcal{N} denote the set of all bounded sequences $\{a_n\} \subset \ell^\infty(\mathbb{C})$ satisfying

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N |a_n| = 0.$$

By the Koopman-von Neumann lemma, see e.g. Petersen [23, p. 65], $(a_n) \in \mathcal{N}$ if and only if it lies in ℓ^∞ and converges to 0 along a sequence of density 1.

Definition 2.1. Given a Banach space E and an operator $T \in \mathcal{L}(E)$, the operator T is said to have *relatively weakly compact orbits* if for each $f \in E$ the orbit set $\{T^n f | n \in \mathbb{N}^+\}$ is relatively weakly closed in E . For any such operator, there exists a corresponding *Jacobs-Glicksberg-deLeeuw* decomposition of the form (cf. [5, Theorem II.4.8])

$$E = E_r \oplus E_s,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} E_r &:= \overline{\text{lin}}\{f \in E : Tf = \lambda f \text{ for some } \lambda \in \mathbb{T}\}, \\ E_s &:= \{f \in E : (\varphi(T^n f)) \in \mathcal{N} \text{ for every } \varphi \in E'\}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that every power bounded operator on a reflexive Banach space has relatively weakly compact orbits. Thus the above decomposition is valid for, e.g., every contraction on $L^p(X, \mu)$ for $p \in (1, \infty)$. In addition, if T is a *Dunford-Schwartz operator* on $L^1(X, \mu)$, i.e., $\|T\|_1 \leq 1$ and T is also a contraction on $L^\infty(X, \mu)$, then T has relatively weakly compact orbits as well, see Lin, Olsen, Tempelman [22, Prop. 2.6] and Kornfeld, Lin [15, pp. 226–227]. Note that every Dunford-Schwartz operator is also a contraction on $L^p(X, \mu)$ for every $p \in (1, \infty)$, see, e.g., [7, Theorem 8.23]. The Jacobs-deLeeuw-Glicksberg decomposition is therefore valid for Dunford-Schwartz operators on $L^p(X, \mu)$ for every $p \in [1, \infty)$.

Let T be a Dunford-Schwartz operator on (X, μ) . The *(linear) modulus* $|T|$ of T is defined as the unique positive operator on $L^1(X, \mu)$ having the same L^1 - and L^∞ -norm as T such that $|T^n f| \leq |T|^n |f|$ holds a.e. for every $f \in L^1(X, \mu)$ and every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. The modulus of a Dunford-Schwartz operator is again a Dunford-Schwartz operator. For details, we refer to Dunford, Schwartz [4, p. 672] and Krengel [16, pp. 159–160]. Also, it is easily seen that for T Dunford-Schwartz, the operators λT ($\lambda \in \mathbb{T}$) are themselves Dunford-Schwartz and have the same modulus.

For example, every Koopman operator (i.e., an operator induced by a μ -preserving transformation on X) is a positive Dunford-Schwartz operator, hence coincides with its modulus.

A key property of Dunford-Schwartz operators needed for the present paper is that the validity of pointwise ergodic theorems typically extends from Koopman operators to Dunford-Schwartz operators.

For instance, for every $f \in L^1(X, \mu)$ the ergodic averages

$$(1) \quad \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N T^n f$$

converge a.e. as $N \rightarrow \infty$, see Dunford, Schwartz [4, p. 675].

We shall also need to define some classes of sequences that act as good weights for pointwise ergodic theorems.

A sequence $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{C}$ is called a *trigonometric polynomial* (cf. [13]) if it is of the form $a_n = \sum_{j=1}^t b_j \rho_j^n$ where the b_j are complex numbers, and $\rho_j \in \mathbb{T}$ for all $1 \leq j \leq t$.

Let $\mathcal{P} \subset \ell^\infty$ denote the set of Bohr almost periodic sequences, i.e., the set of uniform limits of trigonometric polynomials.

The following properties of the set \mathcal{P} will be used: It is closed in l^∞ , closed under multiplication, and is a subclass of (Weyl) almost periodic sequences $AP(\mathbb{N})$, i.e., sequences whose orbit under the left shift is relatively compact in l^∞ . Actually, $AP(\mathbb{N}) = \mathcal{P} \oplus c_0$, see Bellow, Losert [2, p. 316], corresponding to the Jacobs-deLeeuw-Glicksberg decomposition of $AP(\mathbb{N})$ induced by the left shift, see, e.g., [5, Theorem I.1.20].

By Çömez, Lin, Olsen [3, Theorem 2.5], every element $(a_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ of $AP(\mathbb{N})$, and hence of \mathcal{P} , is a good weight for the pointwise ergodic theorem for Dunford-Schwartz operators. That is, for every Dunford-Schwartz operator T on a probability space (X, μ) and every $f \in L^1(X, \mu)$, the weighted ergodic averages

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N a_n T^n f$$

converge almost everywhere as $N \rightarrow \infty$.

A sequence $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{C}$ is called *linear* (cf. [6]), if there exist a Banach space E , an operator $T \in \mathcal{L}(E)$ with relatively weakly compact orbits and $y \in E$, $y' \in E'$ such that $a_n = y'(T^n y)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let us call a linear sequence *stable* if we can choose $y \in E_s$, and *reversible* if we can choose $y \in E_r$. It is easy to see that stable linear sequences all lie in \mathcal{N} , whereas reversible linear sequences all lie in \mathcal{P} .

We shall later also need properties of polynomial subsequences of linear sequences, and thus a corresponding class of good weights for the pointwise polynomial ergodic theorem.

Definition 2.2. Given $1 \leq p < \infty$ and a subsequence $(n_s)_{s \in \mathbb{N}}$ of \mathbb{N} , the class $B_{p, (n_s)_{s \in \mathbb{N}}}$ of $p, (n_s)_{s \in \mathbb{N}}$ -Besicovitch sequences is the closure of the trigonometric polynomials in the $p, (n_s)_{s \in \mathbb{N}}$ semi-norm defined by

$$\|(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}\|_{p, (n_s)_{s \in \mathbb{N}}}^p = \limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N |a_{n_s}|^p.$$

By [21, Thm. 2.1], the set of bounded sequences in these classes is independent of the choice of p , i.e., $B_{1, (n_s)_{s \in \mathbb{N}}} \cap l^\infty = B_{p, (n_s)_{s \in \mathbb{N}}} \cap l^\infty$ for all $p \in (1, \infty)$. Note that the seminorm defined above is trivially dominated by the l^∞ norm, and hence $\mathcal{P} \subset B_{1, (n_s)_{s \in \mathbb{N}}} \cap l^\infty$ for any subsequence $(n_s)_{s \in \mathbb{N}}$ of \mathbb{N} . The closedness of \mathcal{P} under multiplication thus yields the following lemma.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $(a_{n;j})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a reversible linear sequence for each $1 \leq j \leq t$. Then $(b_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ defined by $b_n := \prod_{j=1}^t a_{n;j}$ lies in $B_{1, (n_s)_{s \in \mathbb{N}}} \cap l^\infty$ for any subsequence $(n_s)_{s \in \mathbb{N}}$ of \mathbb{N} .*

The essential property of elements of $B_{1, (n_s)_{s \in \mathbb{N}}} \cap l^\infty$ is given by the following theorem.

Theorem 2.2. (cf. [13, Theorem 2.1]) *Let T be a Dunford-Schwartz operator on a standard probability space (X, μ) , $1 \leq p < \infty$, and $\mathbf{q}(x)$ a polynomial with integer coefficients taking positive values on \mathbb{N} . Then for any $f \in L^p(X, \mu)$ and $(b_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in B_{1, (\mathbf{q}(n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}} \cap l^\infty$ the limit*

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N b_{\mathbf{q}(n)} T^{\mathbf{q}(n)} f$$

exists almost surely.

Finally, we need information about the sequences $(\lambda_{*,n})$ along polynomial indices. Recall that an operator is almost weakly stable if the stable part of the Jacobs-Glicksberg-deLeeuw decomposition is the whole space.

Proposition 2.3 (cf. [18] Thm. 1.1). *Let T be an almost weakly stable contraction on a Hilbert space H . Then T is almost weakly polynomial stable, i.e., for any $h \in H$ and non-constant polynomial \mathbf{q} with integer coefficients taking positive values on \mathbb{N} , the sequence $\{T^{\mathbf{q}(j)} h\}_{j=1}^\infty$ is almost weakly stable.*

As a consequence we obtain the following result.

Corollary 2.4. *Let T be a Dunford-Schwartz operator on the standard probability space (X, μ) , \mathbf{q} a non-constant polynomial with integer coefficients taking positive values on \mathbb{N} and A an arbitrary operator on $L^2(X, \mu)$. Then for any $g, \varphi \in L^2(X, \mu)$ with g in the stable part of $L^2(X, \mu)$ with respect to T , we have that the sequence $\langle AT^{\mathbf{q}(n)} g, \varphi \rangle$ is bounded and lies in \mathcal{N} .*

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

We shall proceed by successive splitting and reduction. For each operator T_i , starting from T_2 , we split the functions it is applied to into several terms using condition (A1). Most of the obtained terms can be easily dealt with, but for the remaining "difficult" terms, we move on to T_{i+1} , up to and including T_m .

We first prove part (1), and then use this result to complete the proof for part (2).

In what follows, we shall assume without loss of generality that for the constant in Theorem 1.1, we have $C \geq 1$. Given a function $f \in E_{1;s}$, and an $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$, do the following.

- (I) First, set $d = 0$, $c := \varepsilon C^{-m}$ and let \mathcal{I}_0 consist of the empty index.
- (II) By assumption (A1), for each f_v ($v \in \mathcal{I}_d$) we may find a decomposition $E = \mathcal{U}_v \oplus \mathcal{R}_v$ with $\ell_v := \dim \mathcal{U}_v < \infty$ and

$$P_{\mathcal{R}_v} \mathcal{A}_{d+1, f_v} \subset B_{c_v}(0, L^\infty(X, \mu)).$$

For each $v \in \mathcal{I}_d$, choose a maximal linearly independent set $f_{v;1}, \dots, f_{v;\ell_v}$ in \mathcal{U}_v . We can then for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ write the unique decomposition

$$A_{d+1} T_{d+1}^n f_v = \lambda_{v,1;n} f_{v,1} + \dots + \lambda_{v,\ell_v;n} f_{v,\ell_v} + r_{v;n},$$

for appropriate coefficients $\lambda_{v,j;n} \in \mathbb{C}$ and some remainder term $r_{v,n} \in \mathcal{R}_v$ with $\|r_{v;n}\|_\infty < c_v$. Choose further elements $\varphi_{v;1}, \dots, \varphi_{v;\ell_v} \in E'$ with the property

$$\varphi_{v;i}(f_{v,j}) = \delta_{i,j} \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi_{v;i}|_{\mathcal{R}_v} = 0 \quad \text{for every } i, j \in \{1, \dots, \ell_v\}.$$

Set

$$u_v := \|f_v\| \cdot \|A_{d+1}^*\| \max_{1 \leq j \leq \ell_v} \|\varphi_{v;j}\|.$$

(III) Let

$$\mathcal{I}_{d+1} := \{w \in \mathbb{N}^{d+1} | \overline{w} \in \mathcal{I}_d, 1 \leq w^* \leq \ell_{\overline{w}}\}.$$

Also, for each $w \in \mathcal{I}^{d+1}$, let $c_w := c_{\overline{w}} / u_{\overline{w}} \ell_{\overline{w}}$.

(IV) Increase d by 1, and unless $d = m - 1$, start anew from step (II).

(V) For each $w \in \mathcal{I}_{m-1}$, choose the function $\tilde{f}_w \in L^\infty$ such that

$$\|f_w - \tilde{f}_w\|_1 \leq \|f_w - \tilde{f}_w\|_p < c_w \cdot \varepsilon / |\mathcal{I}_{m-1}|.$$

Proof of (1).

Applying the above splitting procedure to $f \in E_{1;s}$, we may bound our original

Cesàro averages by a finite sum of averages. For a.e. $z \in X$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{N^k} \sum_{1 \leq n_1, \dots, n_k \leq N} \left| T_m^{n_{\alpha(m)}} A_{m-1} T_{m-1}^{n_{\alpha(m-1)}} \dots A_2 T_2^{n_{\alpha(2)}} A_1 T_1^{n_{\alpha(1)}} f \right| (z) \\
& \leq \sum_{v \in \mathcal{I}_{m-1}} \frac{1}{N^k} \sum_{1 \leq n_1, \dots, n_k \leq N} \left| T_m^{n_{\alpha(m)}} f_v \right| (z) \prod_{l(x) > 0, x \subseteq v} |\lambda_{x; n_{\alpha(l(x))}}| \\
& \quad + \sum_{w \in \mathcal{I}_{l(w)}, 0 \leq l(w) < m-1} \frac{C^{m-2}}{N^k} \sum_{1 \leq n_1, \dots, n_k \leq N} \left| r_{w; n_{\alpha(l(w)+1)}}(z) \right| \prod_{l(x) > 0, x \subseteq w} |\lambda_{x; n_{\alpha(l(x))}}| \\
& \leq \sum_{v \in \mathcal{I}_{m-1}} \frac{1}{N^k} \sum_{1 \leq n_1, \dots, n_k \leq N} \left| T_m^{n_{\alpha(m)}} \tilde{f}_v \right| (z) \prod_{l(x) > 0, x \subseteq v} |\lambda_{x; n_{\alpha(l(x))}}| \\
& \quad + \sum_{v \in \mathcal{I}_{m-1}} \frac{1}{N^k} \sum_{1 \leq n_1, \dots, n_k \leq N} \left| T_m^{n_{\alpha(m)}} (f_v - \tilde{f}_v) \right| (z) \prod_{l(x) > 0, x \subseteq v} |\lambda_{x; n_{\alpha(l(x))}}| \\
& \quad + \sum_{w \in \mathcal{I}_{l(w)}, 0 \leq l(w) < m-1} \frac{C^m}{N^k} \sum_{1 \leq n_1, \dots, n_k \leq N} c_w \prod_{l(x) > 0, x \subseteq w} |\lambda_{x; n_{\alpha(l(x))}}|.
\end{aligned}$$

We shall bound each of these three sums separately. Note that by the definition of the linear forms, we have for each $v \in \mathcal{I}_{l(v)}$ ($1 \leq l(v) \leq m-1$)

$$\lambda_{v; n} = \varphi_{\bar{v}; v*}(A_{l(v)} T_{l(v)}^n f_{\bar{v}}) = (A_{l(v)}^* \varphi_{\bar{v}; v*})(T_{l(v)}^n f_{\bar{v}}),$$

and hence

$$|\lambda_{v; n}| \leq \|f_{\bar{v}}\| \cdot \|A_{l(v)}^*\| \max_{1 \leq j \leq \ell_v} \|\varphi_{\bar{v}; j}\| = u_{\bar{v}},$$

but also, since $f \in E_{1; s}$, we have $(\lambda_{j; n})_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathcal{N}$ for each $1 \leq j \leq \ell$.

Using that \mathcal{N} is closed under multiplication by bounded sequences, on the one hand we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{w \in \mathcal{I}_{l(w)}, 0 \leq l(w) < m-1} \frac{C^m}{N^k} \sum_{1 \leq n_1, \dots, n_k \leq N} c_w \prod_{l(x) > 0, x \subseteq w} |\lambda_{x; n_{\alpha(l(x))}}| \\
& = \sum_{w \in \mathcal{I}_{l(w)}, 0 \leq l(w) < m-1} C^m c_w \left(\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N^k} \sum_{1 \leq n_1, \dots, n_k \leq N} \prod_{l(x) > 0, x \subseteq w} |\lambda_{x; n_{\alpha(l(x))}}| \right) \\
& = C^m \sum_{w \in \mathcal{I}_0} c_w = C^m c = \varepsilon.
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, also using that \tilde{f}_v is essentially bounded for each $v \in \mathcal{I}_{m-1}$ and that T_m as a Dunford-Schwartz operator is a contraction on L^∞ , we obtain that

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{v \in \mathcal{I}_{m-1}} \frac{1}{N^k} \sum_{1 \leq n_1, \dots, n_k \leq N} \left| T_m^{n_{\alpha(m)}} \tilde{f}_v \right| (z) \prod_{l(x) > 0, x \subseteq v} |\lambda_{x; n_{\alpha(l(x))}}| = 0$$

for almost every $z \in X$.

Thus only the middle sum remains to be bounded. To treat that term, we shall make use of the Pointwise Ergodic Theorem for Dunford-Schwartz operators. Since the modulus of a DS operator is itself DS, we may apply the PET to $|T_m|$ and

the functions $|f_v - \tilde{f}_v|$ to obtain that for each $v \in \mathcal{I}_{m-1}$, there exists a function $0 \leq \mathbf{f}_v \in L^1$ with $\|\mathbf{f}_v\|_1 \leq |f_v - \tilde{f}_v|_1$ and a set S_v with $\mu(S_v) = 1$ such that

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N |T_m|^n |(f_v - \tilde{f}_v)|(z) = \mathbf{f}_v(z)$$

for all $z \in S_v$. Note that by the norm bound in step (V), there then exists a set $\mathbf{S}_v \subset S_v$ with $\mu(\mathbf{S}_v) > 1 - \varepsilon/|\mathcal{I}_{m-1}|$ such that

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N |T_m|^n |(f_v - \tilde{f}_v)|(z) \leq c_w$$

for all $z \in \mathbf{S}_v$. We obtain that for every $z \in \bigcap_{v \in \mathcal{I}_{m-1}} \mathbf{S}_v$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{v \in \mathcal{I}_{m-1}} \frac{1}{N^k} \sum_{1 \leq n_1, \dots, n_k \leq N} \left| T_m^{n_{\alpha(m)}} (f_v - \tilde{f}_v) \right| (z) \prod_{l(x) > 0, x \subseteq v} |\lambda_{x; n_{\alpha(l(x))}}| \\ & \leq \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{v \in \mathcal{I}_{m-1}} \frac{1}{N^k} \sum_{1 \leq n_1, \dots, n_k \leq N} \left(|T_m|^{n_{\alpha(m)}} |f_v - \tilde{f}_v| \right) (z) \prod_{l(x) > 0, x \subseteq v} u_{\overline{x}} \\ & \leq \sum_{v \in \mathcal{I}_{m-1}} c_v \prod_{l(x) > 0, x \subseteq v} u_{\overline{x}} = \varepsilon C^{-m} \leq \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

In total, we obtain that for every $\varepsilon > 0$, we have

$$\limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N^k} \sum_{1 \leq n_1, \dots, n_k \leq N} |T_m^{n_{\alpha(m)}} A_{m-1} T_{m-1}^{n_{\alpha(m-1)}} \dots A_2 T_2^{n_{\alpha(2)}} A_1 T_1^{n_{\alpha(1)}} f| (z) < \varepsilon$$

for all $z \in \bigcap_{v \in \mathcal{I}_{m-1}} \mathbf{S}_v$. Since $\mu(\bigcap_{v \in \mathcal{I}_{m-1}} \mathbf{S}_v) > 1 - |\mathcal{I}_{m-1}| \cdot \varepsilon/|\mathcal{I}_{m-1}| = 1 - \varepsilon$, letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ concludes our proof of Part (1).

We now turn our attention to Part (2), and show a.e. convergence of the averages also on the reversible part $E_{1;r}$ with respect to the operator T_1 . Again we shall proceed by iterated splitting of the function, but part (1) will also be made use of.

Given a function $f \in E_{1;r}$, and an $\varepsilon > 0$, do the following.

- (i) First, set $d = 0$, $c := \varepsilon C^{-m}$ and let \mathcal{I}_0 consist of the empty index.
- (ii) By assumption (A1), for each f_v ($v \in \mathcal{I}_d$) we may find a decomposition $E = \mathcal{U}_v \oplus \mathcal{R}_v$ with $\ell_v := \dim \mathcal{U}_v < \infty$ and

$$P_{\mathcal{R}_v} \mathcal{A}_{d+1, f_v} \subset B_{c_v}(0, L^\infty(X, \mu)).$$

For each $v \in \mathcal{I}_d$, choose a maximal linearly independent set $g_{v,1}, \dots, g_{v,\ell_v}$ in \mathcal{U}_v . We can then for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ write the unique decomposition

$$A_{d+1} T_{d+1}^n f_v = \lambda_{v,1;n} g_{v,1} + \dots + \lambda_{v,\ell_v;n} g_{v,\ell_v} + r_{v;n},$$

for appropriate coefficients $\lambda_{v,j;n} \in \mathbb{C}$ and some remainder term $r_{v,n} \in \mathcal{R}_v$ with $\|r_{v;n}\|_\infty < c_v$. Choose further elements $\varphi_{v,1}, \dots, \varphi_{v,\ell_v} \in E'$ with the property

$$\varphi_{v,i}(g_{v,j}) = \delta_{i,j} \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi_{v,i}|_{\mathcal{R}_v} = 0 \quad \text{for every } i, j \in \{1, \dots, \ell_v\}.$$

Set

$$u_v := \|f_v\| \cdot \|A_{d+1}^*\| \max_{1 \leq j \leq \ell_v} \|\varphi_{v;j}\|.$$

- (iii) For each $v \in \mathcal{I}_d$ and $1 \leq j \leq \ell_v$, let $f_{v,j} := P_{E_{d+2;r}} g_{v,j}$ be the reversible part of $g_{v,j}$ with respect to T_{d+2} , and let $q_{v,j} := g_{v,j} - f_{v,j}$ be its stable part.
- (iv) Let

$$\mathcal{I}_{d+1} := \{w \in \mathbb{N}^{d+1} | \overline{w} \in \mathcal{I}_d, 1 \leq w^* \leq \ell_{\overline{w}}\}.$$

Also, for each $w \in \mathcal{I}^{d+1}$, let $c_w := c_{\overline{w}}/u_{\overline{w}}\ell_{\overline{w}}$.

- (v) Increase d by 1, and unless $d = m - 1$, start anew from step (II).

Proof of (2)

Let us apply the iterated decomposition (i)–(vi) detailed above to the function $f \in E_{1;r}$. We obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{N^k} \sum_{1 \leq n_1, \dots, n_k \leq N} T_m^{n_{\alpha(m)}} A_{m-1} T_{m-1}^{n_{\alpha(m-1)}} \dots A_2 T_2^{n_{\alpha(2)}} A_1 T_1^{n_{\alpha(1)}} f \\ &= \sum_{v \in \mathcal{I}_{m-1}} \frac{1}{N^k} \sum_{1 \leq n_1, \dots, n_k \leq N} \left(\prod_{l(x) > 0, x \subseteq v} \lambda_{x; n_{\alpha(l(x))}} \right) T_m^{n_{\alpha(m)}} g_v \\ &+ \sum_{w \in \mathcal{I}_{l(w)}, 0 < l(w) < m-1} \frac{1}{N^k} \sum_{1 \leq n_1, \dots, n_k \leq N} T_m^{n_{\alpha(m)}} A_{m-1} T_{m-1}^{n_{\alpha(m-1)}} \dots A_{\ell(w)+1} T_{\ell(w)+1}^{n_{\alpha(\ell(w)+1)}} q_w \prod_{l(x) > 0, x \subseteq w} \lambda_{x; n_{\alpha(l(x))}} \\ &+ \sum_{w \in \mathcal{I}_{l(w)}, 0 \leq l(w) < m-1} \frac{1}{N^k} \sum_{1 \leq n_1, \dots, n_k \leq N} T_m^{n_{\alpha(m)}} A_{m-1} T_{m-1}^{n_{\alpha(m-1)}} \dots A_{\ell(w)+2} T_{\ell(w)+2}^{n_{\alpha(\ell(w)+2)}} r_{w; n_{\alpha(l(w)+1)}} \prod_{l(x) > 0, x \subseteq w} \lambda_{x; n_{\alpha(l(x))}}. \end{aligned}$$

First, let us look at the terms involving the q_w -s. For each $w \in \mathcal{I}_{l(w)}$ with $0 < l(w) < m - 1$, we note that the products $\prod_{l(x) > 0, x \subseteq w} \lambda_{x; n_{\alpha(l(x))}}$ are bounded in absolute value by the constant $\prod_{l(x) > 0, x \subseteq w} u_{\overline{x}}$, and using part (1) with the new value $m' := m - l(w) > 1$, we obtain for each w that

$$\begin{aligned} & \limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{1}{N^k} \sum_{1 \leq n_1, \dots, n_k \leq N} T_m^{n_{\alpha(m)}} A_{m-1} T_{m-1}^{n_{\alpha(m-1)}} \dots A_{\ell(w)+1} T_{\ell(w)+1}^{n_{\alpha(\ell(w)+1)}} q_w \prod_{l(x) > 0, x \subseteq w} \lambda_{x; n_{\alpha(l(x))}} \right| (z) \\ & \leq \left(\prod_{l(x) > 0, x \subseteq w} u_{\overline{x}} \right) \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N^k} \sum_{1 \leq n_1, \dots, n_k \leq N} \left| T_m^{n_{\alpha(m)}} A_{m-1} T_{m-1}^{n_{\alpha(m-1)}} \dots A_{\ell(w)+1} T_{\ell(w)+1}^{n_{\alpha(\ell(w)+1)}} q_w \right| (z) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for almost every $z \in X$. Since there are finitely many different q_w terms, they contribute a total of 0 to the Cesàro means on a set of full measure.

Second, let us look at the terms involving the $r_{w;*}$ -s. Note that since we work on the reversible part and lack a coefficient sequence λ_* in \mathcal{N} , we cannot conclude the same way as in part (1). Let us therefore fix $w \in \mathcal{I}_{l(w)}$ with $0 \leq l(w) < m - 1$.

We then have using (A2) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| T_m^{n_{\alpha(m)}} A_{m-1} T_{m-1}^{n_{\alpha(m-1)}} \dots A_{\ell(w)+2} T_{\ell(w)+2}^{n_{\alpha(\ell(w)+2)}} r_{w;n_{\alpha(l(w)+1)}} \prod_{l(x)>0, x \subseteq w} \lambda_{x;n_{\alpha(l(x))}} \right\|_{\infty} \\ & \leq C^{m-l(w)-2} \|r_{w;n_{\alpha(l(w)+1)}}\| \prod_{l(x)>0, x \subseteq w} u_{\bar{x}} < C^m c_w \prod_{l(x)>0, x \subseteq w} u_{\bar{x}} = \varepsilon \prod_{x \subset w} \frac{1}{\ell_x}. \end{aligned}$$

This in turn implies that for every N

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \sum_{w \in \mathcal{I}_{l(w)}, 0 \leq l(w) < m-1} \frac{1}{N^k} \sum_{1 \leq n_1, \dots, n_k \leq N} T_m^{n_{\alpha(m)}} A_{m-1} T_{m-1}^{n_{\alpha(m-1)}} \dots A_{\ell(w)+2} T_{\ell(w)+2}^{n_{\alpha(\ell(w)+2)}} r_{w;n_{\alpha(l(w)+1)}} \prod_{l(x)>0, x \subseteq w} \lambda_{x;n_{\alpha(l(x))}} \right\|_{\infty} \\ & \leq \sum_{w \in \mathcal{I}_{l(w)}, 0 \leq l(w) < m-1} \frac{1}{N^k} \sum_{1 \leq n_1, \dots, n_k \leq N} \left\| T_m^{n_{\alpha(m)}} A_{m-1} T_{m-1}^{n_{\alpha(m-1)}} \dots A_{\ell(w)+2} T_{\ell(w)+2}^{n_{\alpha(\ell(w)+2)}} r_{w;n_{\alpha(l(w)+1)}} \prod_{l(x)>0, x \subseteq w} \lambda_{x;n_{\alpha(l(x))}} \right\|_{\infty} \\ & < \sum_{w \in \mathcal{I}_{l(w)}, 0 \leq l(w) < m-1} \varepsilon \prod_{x \subset w} \frac{1}{\ell_x} = \varepsilon \sum_{d=1}^{m-2} \sum_{w \in \mathcal{I}_d} \prod_{x \subset w} \frac{1}{\ell_x} = \varepsilon \sum_{d=1}^{m-2} 1 = \varepsilon(m-2). \end{aligned}$$

It only remains to estimate the terms involving the functions g_v ($v \in \mathcal{I}_{m-1}$). We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{N^k} \sum_{1 \leq n_1, \dots, n_k \leq N} \left(\prod_{l(x)>0, x \subseteq v} \lambda_{x;n_{\alpha(l(x))}} \right) T_m^{n_{\alpha(m)}} g_v \\ & = \left(\frac{1}{N^{k-1}} \sum_{1 \leq n_j \leq N \ (1 \leq j \leq k, j \neq \alpha(m))} \left(\prod_{l(x)>0, \alpha(l(x)) \neq \alpha(m), x \subseteq v} \lambda_{x;n_{\alpha(l(x))}} \right) \right) \\ & \quad \cdot \left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \left(\prod_{l(x)>0, \alpha(l(x)) = \alpha(m), x \subseteq v} \lambda_{x;n} \right) T_m^n g_v \right). \end{aligned}$$

We shall show that as N tends to infinity, the first, complex valued factor is convergent, whereas the second, function valued factor converges almost everywhere. This will then imply that the product also converges almost everywhere.

Let us fix $v \in \mathcal{I}_{m-1}$. We obtain for each $x \subseteq v$ with $l(x) > 0$ that

$$\lambda_{x;n} = \varphi_{\bar{x};x*} \left(A_{l(x)} T_{l(x)}^n f_{\bar{x}} \right) = \langle A_{l(x)}^* \varphi_{\bar{x};x*}, T_{l(x)}^n f_{\bar{x}} \rangle$$

and since $f_{\overline{x}}$ is in the reversible part of E with respect to $T_{l(x)}$, the sequence $(\lambda_{x;n})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a reversible linear sequence. Using that \mathcal{P} is closed under multiplication, we have that for each $1 \leq j \leq m$

$$\left(\prod_{l(x) > 0, \alpha(l(x)) = j, x \subseteq v} \lambda_{x;n} \right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathcal{P}.$$

In particular, for each $v \in \mathcal{I}_{m-1}$, the Cesàro means

$$\left(\frac{1}{N^{k-1}} \sum_{1 \leq n_j \leq N \ (1 \leq j \leq k, j \neq \alpha(m))} \left(\prod_{l(x) > 0, \alpha(l(x)) \neq \alpha(m), x \subseteq v} \lambda_{x;n_{\alpha(l(x))}} \right) \right)$$

converge.

Finally, let us turn our attention to the factor

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \left(\prod_{l(x) > 0, \alpha(l(x)) = \alpha(m), x \subseteq v} \lambda_{x;n} \right) T_m^n g_v.$$

Since elements of \mathcal{P} are good weights for the PET for Dunford-Schwartz operators, this converges pointwise almost everywhere.

In conclusion, for almost every $z \in X$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} - \liminf_{N \rightarrow \infty}) \frac{1}{N^k} \sum_{1 \leq n_1, \dots, n_k \leq N} (T_m^{n_{\alpha(m)}} A_{m-1} T_{m-1}^{n_{\alpha(m-1)}} \dots A_2 T_2^{n_{\alpha(2)}} A_1 T_1^{n_{\alpha(1)}} f)(z) \\
& \leq \sum_{v \in \mathcal{I}_{m-1}} (\limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} - \liminf_{N \rightarrow \infty}) \frac{1}{N^k} \sum_{1 \leq n_1, \dots, n_k \leq N} \left(\prod_{l(x) > 0, x \subseteq v} \lambda_{x; n_{\alpha(l(x))}} \right) (T_m^{n_{\alpha(m)}} g_v)(z) \\
& + \sum_{w \in \mathcal{I}_{l(w)}, 0 < l(w) < m-1} (\limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} - \liminf_{N \rightarrow \infty}) \frac{1}{N^k} \sum_{1 \leq n_1, \dots, n_k \leq N} \\
& \quad \left(T_m^{n_{\alpha(m)}} A_{m-1} T_{m-1}^{n_{\alpha(m-1)}} \dots A_{\ell(w)+1} T_{\ell(w)+1}^{n_{\alpha(\ell(w)+1)}} q_w \right)(z) \prod_{l(x) > 0, x \subseteq w} \lambda_{x; n_{\alpha(l(x))}} \\
& + \sum_{w \in \mathcal{I}_{l(w)}, 0 \leq l(w) < m-1} (\limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} - \liminf_{N \rightarrow \infty}) \frac{1}{N^k} \sum_{1 \leq n_1, \dots, n_k \leq N} \\
& \quad \left(T_m^{n_{\alpha(m)}} A_{m-1} T_{m-1}^{n_{\alpha(m-1)}} \dots A_{\ell(w)+2} T_{\ell(w)+2}^{n_{\alpha(\ell(w)+2)}} r_{w; n_{\alpha(l(w)+1)}} \right)(z) \prod_{l(x) > 0, x \subseteq w} \lambda_{x; n_{\alpha(l(x))}} \\
& = 0 + 0 + \sum_{w \in \mathcal{I}_{l(w)}, 0 \leq l(w) < m-1} (\limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} - \liminf_{N \rightarrow \infty}) \frac{1}{N^k} \sum_{1 \leq n_1, \dots, n_k \leq N} \\
& \quad \left(T_m^{n_{\alpha(m)}} A_{m-1} T_{m-1}^{n_{\alpha(m-1)}} \dots A_{\ell(w)+2} T_{\ell(w)+2}^{n_{\alpha(\ell(w)+2)}} r_{w; n_{\alpha(l(w)+1)}} \right)(z) \prod_{l(x) > 0, x \subseteq w} \lambda_{x; n_{\alpha(l(x))}} \\
& \leq 2 \sup_{N \in \mathbb{N}} \left\| \sum_{w \in \mathcal{I}_{l(w)}, 0 \leq l(w) < m-1} \frac{1}{N^k} \sum_{1 \leq n_1, \dots, n_k \leq N} \right. \\
& \quad \left. T_m^{n_{\alpha(m)}} A_{m-1} T_{m-1}^{n_{\alpha(m-1)}} \dots A_{\ell(w)+2} T_{\ell(w)+2}^{n_{\alpha(\ell(w)+2)}} r_{w; n_{\alpha(l(w)+1)}} \prod_{l(x) > 0, x \subseteq w} \lambda_{x; n_{\alpha(l(x))}} \right\|_{\infty} \\
& \leq 2\varepsilon(m-2).
\end{aligned}$$

Since this holds for every $\varepsilon > 0$, this concludes the proof of part (2).

Remark. The pointwise limit is – if it exists – clearly the same as the strong limit, and takes the form given in [8, Thm. 3].

4. POINTWISE POLYNOMIAL ERGODIC VERSION

In this section our goal is to prove a polynomial version of Theorem 1.1.

With these tools in hand, we can now state and prove almost everywhere pointwise convergence of entangled means on Hilbert spaces.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $m > 1$ and k be positive integers, $\alpha : \{1, \dots, m\} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, k\}$ a not necessarily surjective map, and T_1, T_2, \dots, T_m Dunford-Schwartz operators on a standard probability space (X, μ) . Let $E := L^2(X, \mu)$ and let $E = E_{j,r} \oplus E_{j,s}$ be the Jacobs-Glicksberg-deLeeuw decomposition corresponding to T_j ($1 \leq j \leq m$). Let further $A_j \in \mathcal{L}(E)$ ($1 \leq j < m$) be bounded operators. Suppose that the conditions (A1) and (A2) of Theorem 1.1 hold.*

Further, let $\mathbf{q}_1, \mathbf{q}_2, \dots, \mathbf{q}_k$ be non-constant polynomials with integer coefficients taking positive values on \mathbb{N} . Then we have the following:

(1) for each $f \in E_{1,s}$,

$$\frac{1}{N^k} \sum_{1 \leq n_1, \dots, n_k \leq N} \left| T_m^{\mathbf{q}_{\alpha(m)}(n_{\alpha(m)})} \dots A_2 T_2^{\mathbf{q}_{\alpha(2)}(n_{\alpha(2)})} A_1 T_1^{\mathbf{q}_{\alpha(1)}(n_{\alpha(1)})} f \right| \rightarrow 0$$

pointwise a.e.;

(2) for each $f \in E_{1,r}$, the averages

$$\frac{1}{N^k} \sum_{1 \leq n_1, \dots, n_k \leq N} T_m^{\mathbf{q}_{\alpha(m)}(n_{\alpha(m)})} \dots A_2 T_2^{\mathbf{q}_{\alpha(2)}(n_{\alpha(2)})} A_1 T_1^{\mathbf{q}_{\alpha(1)}(n_{\alpha(1)})} f$$

converge pointwise almost everywhere.

Proof. We shall follow the proof of Theorem 1.1, using the same recursive splitting. The question is then why the convergences still hold when averaging along polynomial subsequences.

For part (1), we have three terms to bound: those involving the remainder functions $r_{*,n}$, the ones involving the essentially bounded functions \tilde{f}_* , and finally the ones with the small approximation errors $f_* - \tilde{f}_*$. Using Corollary 2.4, we obtain that the subsequences $\lambda_{j;q(n)}$ involved ($1 \leq j \leq \ell$) also lie in \mathcal{N} , leading to the same bounds as in the linear case for the first two types of terms. For the terms involving the functions $f_* - \tilde{f}_*$, we use the polynomial version of PET for Dunford-Schwartz operators, Theorem 2.2, to obtain that for each $v \in \mathcal{I}_{m-1}$, there exists a function $0 \leq \mathbf{f}_v \in L^1$ and a set S_v with $\mu(S_v) = 1$ such that

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N |T_m|^{\mathbf{q}(n)} |(f_v - \tilde{f}_v)|(z) = \mathbf{f}_v(z).$$

for all $z \in S_v$. Since the polynomial Cesàro means are also contractive in L^1 for Dunford-Schwartz operators, the rest of the arguments remain unchanged, and this concludes the proof of part (1).

For part (2), we again have three types of terms. The terms involving the functions q_* can again be treated using part (1) and shown to have a zero contribution almost everywhere, and the terms with the $r_{*,n}$ -s also do not require any change in the arguments used. Only the terms involving the functions g_v ($v \in \mathcal{I}_{m-1}$) remain. For these, we use Lemma 2.1 combined with Theorem 2.2 to obtain the almost everywhere convergence needed. □

5. THE CONTINUOUS CASE

In this section, we finally turn our attention to a variant of the above results, where we replace the discrete action of the Dunford-Schwartz operators with the continuous action C_0 -semigroups. In other words, the semigroups $\{T_i^n | n \in \mathbb{N}^+\}$ are replaced by strongly continuous semigroups $\{T_i(t) | t \in [0, \infty)\}$.

Let $T(\cdot) := (T(t))_{t \in [0, \infty)}$ be a C_0 -semigroup of Dunford-Schwartz operators on $L^1(X, \mu)$. Then, by the standard approximation argument, using that the unit ball in $L^\infty(X, \mu)$ is invariant under the semigroup, $T(\cdot)$ is automatically a C_0 -semigroup (of contractions) on $L^p(X, \mu)$ for every $\infty > p \geq 1$. In addition, by Fubini's theorem, see, e.g., Sato [24, p. 3], for every $f \in L^1(X, \mu)$ the function $(T(\cdot)f)(x)$ is Lebesgue integrable over finite intervals in $[0, \infty)$ for almost every $x \in X$. Similarly, for C_0 -semigroups $T_0(\cdot), \dots, T_a(\cdot)$ on $E := L^p(X, \mu)$, operators $A_0, \dots, A_{a-1} \in \mathcal{L}(E)$ and $f \in E$, the product

$$(T_a(\cdot)A_{a-1}T_{a-1}(\cdot) \dots A_1T_1(\cdot)A_0T_0(\cdot)f)(x)$$

is Lebesgue integrable over finite intervals in $[0, \infty)$ for almost every $x \in X$.

By Dunford, Schwartz [4, pp. 694, 708], the pointwise ergodic theorem extends to every strongly measurable semigroup $T(\cdot)$ of Dunford-Schwartz operators. In addition, it can be shown through a simple adaptation of the arguments in Lin, Olsen, Tempelman [22, Proof of Prop. 2.6] that every C_0 -semigroup of Dunford-Schwartz operators has relatively weakly compact orbits in $L^1(X, \mu)$. Thus, the continuous version of the Jacobs-deLeeuw-Glicksberg decomposition (see e.g. [5, Theorem III.5.7]) is valid for such semigroups.

In the discrete case, the modulus $|T|$ of the operator T was used to obtain a discrete semigroup of positive operators that dominates $(T^n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ whilst keeping the Dunford-Schwartz property. The time-continuous case turns out to be more involved, as there is no “first” operator whose modulus can be used to generate the dominating semigroup. Just as in the discrete case, we usually have $|T^2| \neq |T|^2$, in the C_0 setting $(|T(t)|)_{t \geq 0}$ will generally not be a strongly continuous semigroup. By e.g. Kipnis [14] or Kubokawa [17], for a C_0 -semigroup $T(\cdot)$ of contractions there exists a minimal C_0 -semigroup of positive operators dominating $T(\cdot)$, which we shall denote by $|T|(\cdot)$. Of course, $|T|(\cdot) = T(\cdot)$ for positive semigroups. Moreover, the construction in [14, pp. 372-3] implies that if $T(\cdot)$ consists of Dunford-Schwartz operators then so does $|T|(\cdot)$.

With the above, the proof of Theorem 1.1 can be extended to the time-continuous setting to obtain the following C_0 version of our main theorem.

Theorem 5.1. *Let $m > 1$ and k be positive integers, $\alpha : \{1, \dots, m\} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, k\}$ a not necessarily surjective map and let $(T_1(t))_{t \geq 0}, \dots, (T_m(t))_{t \geq 0}$ be C_0 -semigroups of Dunford-Schwartz operators on a standard probability space (X, μ) . Let $p \in [1, \infty)$, $E := L^p(X, \mu)$ and let $E = E_{j,r} \oplus E_{j,s}$ be the Jacobs-Glicksberg-deLeeuw decomposition corresponding to $T_j(\cdot)$ ($1 \leq j \leq m$). Let further $A_j \in \mathcal{L}(E)$ ($1 \leq j < m - 1$) be bounded operators. For a function $f \in E$ and an index $1 \leq j \leq m - 1$, write $\mathcal{A}_{j,f} := \{A_j T_j(t)f \mid t \in [0, \infty)\}$. Suppose that the following conditions hold:*

(A1c) (Twisted compactness) *For any function $f \in E$, index $1 \leq j \leq m - 1$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a decomposition $E = \mathcal{U} \oplus \mathcal{R}$ with $\dim \mathcal{U} < \infty$ such that*

$$P_{\mathcal{R}} \mathcal{A}_{j,f} \subset B_\varepsilon(0, L^\infty(X, \mu)),$$

with $P_{\mathcal{R}}$ denoting the projection onto \mathcal{R} along \mathcal{U} .

(A2c) (Joint \mathcal{L}^∞ -boundedness) *There exists a constant $C > 0$ such that we have*

$$\{A_j T_j(t) \mid t \in [0, \infty), 1 \leq j \leq m - 1\} \subset B_C(0, \mathcal{L}(L^\infty(X, \mu))).$$

Then we have the following:

(1) for each $f \in E_{1,s}$,

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T^k} \int_{\{t_1, \dots, t_k\} \in [0, T]^k} |T_m(t_{\alpha(m)}) \dots A_2 T_2(t_{\alpha(2)}) A_1 T_1(t_{\alpha(1)}) f| \rightarrow 0$$

pointwise a.e.;

(2) for each $f \in E_{1,r}$,

$$\frac{1}{T^k} \int_{\{t_1, \dots, t_k\} \in [0, T]^k} T_m(t_{\alpha(m)}) A_{m-1} T_{m-1}(t_{\alpha(m-1)}) \dots A_2 T_2(t_{\alpha(2)}) A_1 T_1(t_{\alpha(1)}) f$$

converges pointwise a.e..

REFERENCES

- [1] L. Accardi, Yu. Hashimoto, N. Obata, Notions of independence related to the free group, *Infin. Dimens. Anal. Quantum Probab. Relat. Top.* **1** (1998), 201–220.
- [2] A. Bellow, V. Losert, The weighted pointwise ergodic theorem and the individual ergodic theorem along subsequences, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **288** (1985), 307–345.
- [3] D. Çömez, M. Lin, J. Olsen, Weighted ergodic theorems for mean ergodic L_1 -contractions, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **350** (1998), 101–117.
- [4] N. Dunford, J. T. Schwartz, *Linear Operators. Part I. General theory*. With the assistance of William G. Bade and Robert G. Bartle. Reprint of the 1958 original. Wiley Classics Library. A Wiley-Interscience Publication. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1988.
- [5] T. Eisner, *Stability of Operators and Operator Semigroups*, Operator Theory: Advances and Applications, 209, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2010.
- [6] T. Eisner, Linear sequences and weighted ergodic theorems *Abstr. Appl. Anal.*, (2013), Art. ID 815726.
- [7] T. Eisner, B. Farkas, M. Haase, R. Nagel, *Operator Theoretic Aspects of Ergodic Theory*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics 272, Springer, Cham, 2015.
- [8] T. Eisner, D. Kunszenti-Kovács, *On the entangled ergodic theorem*, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. di Pisa Cl. Sci. XII (2013), 141–156.
- [9] T. Eisner, D. Kunszenti-Kovács, *On the pointwise entangled ergodic theorem*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **449** (2017), 1754–1769.
- [10] F. Fidaleo, On the entangled ergodic theorem, *Infin. Dimens. Anal. Quantum Probab. Relat. Top.* **10** (2007), 67–77.
- [11] F. Fidaleo, The entangled ergodic theorem in the almost periodic case, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **432** (2010), 526–535.
- [12] F. Fidaleo, Nonconventional ergodic theorems for quantum dynamical systems, *Infin. Dimens. Anal. Quantum Probab. Relat. Top.* **17** (2014), DOI:10.1142/S021902571450009X
- [13] R. L. Jones, M. Lin and J. Olsen, Weighted Ergodic Theorems Along Subsequences of Density Zero, *New York J. Math.* **3A** (1998), 89–98.
- [14] C. Kipnis, Majoration des semi-groupes de contractions de L^1 et applications. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré* **10** (1974), 369–384.
- [15] I. Kornfeld, M. Lin, Weak almost periodicity of L_1 contractions and coboundaries of non-singular transformations, *Studia Math.* **138** (2000), 225–240.
- [16] U. Krengel, *Ergodic Theorems*. De Gruyter Studies in Mathematics, de Gruyter, Berlin, 1985.
- [17] Y. Kubokawa, Ergodic theorems for contraction semi-groups, *J. Math. Soc. Japan* **77** (1975), 184–193.
- [18] D. Kunszenti-Kovács, Almost weak polynomial stability of operators, *Houston J. Math.* **41** (2015), 901–913
- [19] D. Kunszenti-Kovács, Almost Everywhere Convergence of Entangled Ergodic Averages, *Integr. Equ. Oper. Theory* **86**:(2) (2016), 231–247. DOI 10.1007/s00020-016-2323-0

- [20] V. Liebscher, Note on entangled ergodic theorems, *Infin. Dimens. Anal. Quantum Probab. Relat. Top.* **2** (1999), 301–304.
- [21] M. Lin and J. Olsen, Besicovitch functions and weighted ergodic theorems for LCA group actions, *Convergence in Ergodic Theory and Probability* (Bergelson, March and Rosenblatt, eds.), de Gruyter, Berlin, 1996, 277–289.
- [22] M. Lin, J. Olsen, A. Tempelman, On modulated ergodic theorems for Dunford-Schwartz operators, Proceedings of the Conference on Probability, Ergodic Theory, and Analysis (Evanston, IL, 1997), *Illinois J. Math.* **43** (1999), 542–567.
- [23] K. Petersen, *Ergodic Theory*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, Cambridge University Press, 1983.
- [24] R. Sato, Ergodic Theorems for d -parameter semigroups of Dunford-Schwartz operators, *Math. J. Okayama Univ.* **23** (1981), 41–57.

MTA ALFRED RÉNYI INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, P.O. BOX 127, H-1364 BUDAPEST,
HUNGARY
E-mail address: `daku@renyi.hu`